Geoffrey C. Angel IGEĽ LAW FIRM 803 West Babcock Bozeman, Montana 59715 Telephone (406) 922-2210 3 Facsimile (406) 922-2211 christianangel@hotmail.com 4 **Attorney for Plaintiff** 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA 8 **HELENA DIVISION** 9 TINA McCOLL, Cause Number CV-17-40-H-SEH 10 Plaintiff, DECLARATION OF ALAN F. BLAKLEY 11 vs. 12 ALLIED PROFESSIONALS INSURANCE COMPANY, 13 Defendant. 14 STATE OF COLORADO : ss County of Boulder 16 Alan F. Blakley, upon oath, swears and affirms as follows: 17 1. My name is Alan F. Blakley and I make this declaration in support of 18 the Plaintiff's Motion to Compel that seeks attorneys' fees and 19 sanctions due to Allied Professionals Insurance Company's discovery 20 abuses that caused the Court in the hearing to show cause held 21 December 15, 2017 to strike the deposition of the insurer's adjuster 22 Sara Schroeder from the record. 23 2. I make this affidavit of my own knowledge, information and belief. 24 3. I am admitted to practice in the courts of the state of Montana and 25 have been so admitted since 1991. I am admitted to practice in the 26 State of Colorado, the United States District Courts of Montana, 27 District of Colorado, the Western District of Michigan, the First, 28

1		Second, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Ninth and Tenth Circuit Courts of
2		Appeals, the United States Court of Federal Claims, the Courts of
3		Appeals for the Federal Circuit and for the Armed Forces and the
4		United States Supreme Court.
5	4.	I have written more than five books on discovery and numerous
6		articles on discovery in both law reviews and more "popular" legal
7		publications.
8	5.	In determining an appropriate fee award, Courts first calculate the
9		lodestar figure by multiplying the reasonable hourly rate by the
10		number of hours reasonably expended.
11	6.	After determining the product of the lodestar calculation, the Court
12		may adjust the award upward based on one or more of the factors set
13		forth in Kerr v. Screen Extras Guild, Inc., 526 F.2d 67, 70 (9th Cir.
14		1975). These include:
15		a. The time and labor required to address the discovery abuses.
16		b. The novelty and difficulty of the issues created by the
17		defendant's misconduct.
18		c. The skill required to perform the task properly.
19		d. The attorney's inability to accept other work.
20		e. The customary fee for the work.
21		f. Whether attorney is guaranteed a fee for the work.
22		g. The time sensitive nature of the discovery dispute.
23		h. The results obtained.
24		i. The experience, reputation and ability of the attorneys.
25		j. The 'undesirability' of the case or the issues.
26		k. The relationship between attorney and client.
27		1. Attorney fee awards in similar cases.
28		

- 7. The Court can also impose an additional amount as sanctions under the Court's inherent power under Rule 1 and under Rule 11, Rule 26 and Rule 37, F.R.Civ.P.
- 8. I have reviewed the transcript of the deposition of Sara Schroeder, the briefs on the motion to compel and the orders of the Court.
- 9. I have also reviewed surveys from the Montana Bar Association concerning hourly attorneys' fees charged in Montana and recent District of Montana cases and find the rate charged by Mr. Angel to be within standard rates.
- 10. I am also informed that Mrs. McColl agreed to the hourly rate at the outset of the case.
- 11. I also find that the amount of time that Mr. Angel was required to expend dealing with discovery abuses that should never has occurred to be reasonable, if not low. For instances, the first document purporting to be a Privilege Log - that was not even provided with the discovery responses - is so scant that it could never satisfy the requirements of the rules. Any Montana licensed attorney would understand this to be an insufficient basis to withhold otherwise discoverable information.
- 12. The hourly fee charged for the work performed is the hourly fee contracted between Mrs. McColl and counsel at the outset of the case.
- 13. The \$350 hourly fee for a case contingent upon the outcome is reasonable for an attorney with nearly 20 years of experience in the federal district courts of Montana.
- 14. The time invested in taking the deposition of Sara Schroeder and briefing the motion to compel were reasonable.
- 15. The time and labor required to address the discovery abuses were reasonable and justified given the rancorous conduct of defense

26. APIC's conduct was most egregious and appears to be done in bad of Montana fully supports the award. Further Declarant Saith Not. **VERIFICATION** foregoing is true and correct. DATED this 5th day of March 2018 

faith. The reported fee awards for attorney misconduct in the District

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2) I declare under penalty of perjury that the